The bill reduces funding for Medicare, which will negatively affect current and future beneficiaries.
The bill repeals a 0.9 percent payroll tax on higher-income workers, which would remove over $100 billion over ten years from the Hospital Insurance trust fund. This would hasten the insolvency of Medicare and diminish Medicare’s ability to pay for services in the future. The bill also removes nearly $25 billion in required payments from pharmaceutical companies over ten years from the Part B trust fund, which would increase premiums for people on Medicare.

- In 2016, Medicare provided coverage for 126,590 Vermonters of all ages, or about 20 percent of the state’s population.
- About 84 percent of Vermonters with Medicare are over age 65 and 16 percent are younger people with disabilities under the age of 65.
- About 146,061 (or 23 percent of) of Vermonters are between the ages of 50 and 64 today and will transition into Medicare over the next 15 years.

The bill unfairly penalizes older Americans.
The bill discriminates against millions of Americans ages 50-64 in the individual (non-group) health insurance market by significantly reducing tax credits now available to lower- and middle-income older persons to help pay premium costs.

- About 17,956 (or 12 percent of) of Vermonters between the ages of 50 and 64 are enrolled in the individual market and would be impacted by the AHCA’s age rating changes.

- 50- to 64-year-olds comprise 43 percent of all adults receiving premium tax credit assistance in Vermont.

Premiums will increase for lower- and middle-income older Vermonters.

- A 55-year-old in Vermont earning $25,000 annually would see her premium increase by an average $700.
- A 64-year-old in Vermont earning $25,000 annually would see his premium increase by an average $200.

Older Vermonters simply cannot afford to pay the higher premiums.

- In 2015, half of all Vermonters ages 50-64 buying insurance in the individual market have incomes of $30,000 or less a year.

The bill weakens protections for people with individual and employer coverage.
It allows states to waive current standards for minimum coverage (known as Essential Health Benefits) allowing insurers to sell less comprehensive, potentially even skimpy coverage. The bill also weakens current protections that
ensure a person doesn’t end up with catastrophic out-of-pocket costs. This weakening includes the requirement that insurance companies must limit consumers’ annual out-of-pocket costs (such as deductibles and copays). It also includes the ban on insurance companies setting caps on how much they would cover annually, or over a person’s lifetime. These changes would affect people in the individual market and those with employer-sponsored coverage. The result would be less choice and reduced access to needed services for people with pre-existing conditions.

- 17,956 (or 12 percent of) Vermonters ages 50-64 receive coverage through the individual market and could be affected by the bill.
- The bill can also increase costs for 93,283 (or 64 percent of) Vermonters ages 50-64 who receive coverage through their employer, by weakening current limits on their out-of-pocket costs.
- Every year, 26,816 Vermonters ages 18-64 who work for a large employer leave or lose their jobs and may have to buy coverage in the individual market.

The bill cuts over $800 billion from Medicaid. The bill creates a capped financing structure in the Medicaid program and cuts $839 billion – nearly 25 percent – over ten years. Both per capita cap and block grant financing would likely shift significant costs to states, state taxpayers, and families. The bill could lead to cuts in provider payments, program eligibility, services, or all of the above – ultimately harming some of our nation’s most vulnerable citizens.

- In 2017, more than 168,000 Vermonters received health coverage and long-term services and supports (LTSS) through Medicaid.
- In FY 2013, about 38,000 low-income Medicare beneficiaries in Vermont received Medicaid.

Most people prefer to receive LTSS in their homes and communities. Nursing home care is generally more expensive than serving people in the community, but home and community-based services (HCBS) is an optional service in Medicaid. Cutting federal Medicaid spending jeopardizes access to HCBS, forcing people to rely on more expensive nursing home care.

- In FY2014, nursing facilities comprised 56.9 percent of Medicaid LTSS expenditures in Vermont for older adults and people with physical disabilities.

The bill will significantly increase the number of uninsured Americans

- 51,600 Vermonters will lose coverage by 2026 as a result of the bill.